Chapter 8
Conclusions and recommendations

8.1. Conclusion

In this project, we set out to design and construct a front-end system which would enable weighted searching with relevance feedback to be carried out on a conventional Boolean host. In this endeavour we were successful, and the resulting system has been described in these pages.

The system certainly has limitations, and requires development in certain directions. Some of the limitations are of a fundamental nature, and may not be removable within the present framework (for example, it seems likely that any algorithm that was used for the searching would be limited to a relatively small number of terms). Nevertheless, it would appear that the system, with a little further development, could form the basis of the sort of evaluation project originally envisaged for the Oddy-Jamieson system.

8.2. Oddy-Jamieson evaluation

The proposal was to install the intelligent terminal/front-end system within an operational environment, and use it as an alternative to a conventional dumb terminal in a controlled experiment (but with real users). The operational environment chosen was the Central Information Service at the University of London (Jamieson and Oddy, 1979).

Oddy and Jamieson worked out an experimental plan in some detail. It involved randomly assigning users to either the experimental system or the conventional one, and using various kinds of post-search assessment. In essence it remains a good plan, although some of the practical details would need to be changed.

8.3. Possible operational evaluation of cirt

The first question that arises concerns the mode of implementation of cirt in the operational environment. In our original proposal, several options were outlined. The major distinction between them concerned whether to install cirt physically in the new location, or alternatively to provide access to it from a dumb terminal at the new location.

The balance of advantage at present seems to us to come down heavily on the side of keeping cirt where it is at the moment and providing access through the network. For one thing, it is clear that in such a project, the job of maintaining cirt would be quite a substantial one: one would have to keep a close watch on how it runs under operational conditions, in order to detect and remove any remaining technical difficulties. (Our experience leads us to assume that there will be further technical difficulties, either with cirt itself or with the
network software.) A second and related point is that it may no longer be possible to get enough searches for the evaluation at CIS itself, since University of London searches are more and more being done in the Institutions themselves. Thus one might have to conduct the experiment using searches from several different locations. Installing (and maintaining) cirt in several locations would not seem to be a desirable course of action at the present stage of development.

Such arguments do not of course preclude the eventual development of a portable system; indeed, we would regard that as a long-term aim.

If we were to pursue the course suggested here, the various Institutes of the University of London would very probably be able to get access to cirt through ULCC and Joint Academic Network connections. These connections are at present being installed; there may be some delay before all the necessary connections are available, and clearly any new evaluation project will have to wait until they are.

8.4. Outline of a project

It would appear appropriate, therefore, to consider a project along the following lines:

a. The main aim of the project would be to conduct a controlled experiment, in an operational environment, to compare weighted-searching-with-relevance-feedback with a more conventional approach.

b. There would need to be an initial stage of further development of cirt. The main requirements are: accounting procedures; some development of the user interface; any work required to ensure that any new network connections are operating correctly. This initial stage would require the employment of a programmer, and would last a few months (3-6).

c. The second stage would be the evaluation, as envisaged by Oddy and Jamieson, but perhaps involving other University of London institutions as well as CIS. This would last about one year, and would involve employing an information scientist, to be based at CIS. The programmer would continue on the project, to maintain the system, provide any necessary technical support, and (in her/his spare time) work on some of the developments of cirt suggested in this report.

d. Thus overall the project would require about two and a half man-years, and money for data-base searching, spread over one and a half calendar years. Because of the requirements for network connections, it could not start before October 1984 at the earliest.
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