REFERENCES

1. STEVENS, N.D. Review of test reports of Cranfield I. Library Resources and Technical Services, 8, 1964, pp. 87-90.

2. AITCHISON, J. and Report of a test on the index of metallurg-CLEVERDON, C.W. ical literature of Western Reserve University. Cranfield, 1963.

3. VICKERY, B.C. On retrieval system theory. 2nd ed. London, Butterworths, 1965.

4. SWETS, J.A. Information retrieval systems.
Science, 141, 1963, pp. 245 - 250.

5. FAIRTHORNE, R.A. Unpublished notes.

6. REES, A.M. The evaluation of retrieval systems.

Comparative Systems Laboratory Technical
Report No. 5.

Western Reserve University, 1965.

SINNETT, J.D. An evaluation of links and roles used in information retrieval.
 Dayton, Air Force Materials Laboratory, 1964.

8. GOFFMAN, W. and Methodology for test and evaluation of NEWILL, V.A. information retrieval systems.

Comparative Systems Laboratory Technical Report No. 2.

Western Reserve University, 1964.

9. VERHOEFF, J. Inefficiency of the use of Boolean functions GOFFMAN, W. and for information retrieval systems.

BELZER, J. Communications of the Association for Computing Machinery, 4, 1961, pp. 557-558, 594.

10. KENDALL, M.G. The advanced theory of statistics. and STUART, A. London, Griffin, 1961.

11. MORONEY, M.J. Facts from figures, 3rd ed. London, Penguin, 1965.

14.

12. CROXTON, F.E. and Applied general statistics. COWDEN, D.J. New York, Prentice-Hall, 1939.

13. SALTON, G. Progress in automatic information retrieval. I.E.E.E. Spectrum, August 1965, pp. 90-103.

Review of 'Factors determining the performance of indexing systems', Vol. I.
Times Literary Supplement, Aug. 11, 1966.

15. VICKERY, -B.C. Review of 'Factors determining the performance of indexing systems', Vol. I.

Jnl. of Documentation, 22, 1966, pp. 247-249.

16. te NUYL, Th. W.

The L'Unité mechanised documentation system.

Revue de Documentation, 28, 1962, pp. 140
147.

17. NEEDHAM, R.M. and Keywords and clumps. SPARCK JONES, K. Jnl. of Documentation, 20, 1964, pp. 5-15.