As a postscript, it might be stated that the machine times given are representative of what we were able to obtain when the various pieces of equipment were working satisfactorily. Unfortunately for us the equipment which we used was old and had only been used intermittently for demonstration purposes during the last few years, and we had numerous breakdowns, with the result that the time spent on the operation was probably well over 500 hours. However, we have no reason to think other than that with properly maintained equipment, the figures which are given would be quite practical.

## CHAPTER 6

## STATISTICAL DETAILS

In Tables 4-9 are given various statistical details of the indexing. Some of these may have little value at the present stage, but will become significant in relation to the test results.

The first set of tables gives detailed figures of the postings for each group of 100 documents during the indexing of the final 6,000 documents. As was to be expected, there was a regular falling off in the number of postings with indexing times; in addition we have, as was hoped, a variation between the indexers. While it would obviously be incorrect to suggest that there is a correlation between the standard of indexing and the number of entries required for each document, yet we hope that in the testing we shall be able to ascertain whether overindexing has an equally bad effect as under-indexing.

Table 5 compares the indexing done during the first two sub-
programmes with the figures from Table 4; the general increase during the whole programme is presumably an indication of the indexers growing familiarity with the systems.

Table 6 shows the variation in postings between research reports and articles in journals, while Table 7 gives data on the postings for individual series and journals. Table 8 gives figures for the new headings originated during the final batch of 6,000 documents.
Throughout the whole programme, the number of headings or notations used was as follows :-

| U.D.C. | 4052 | headings in alphabetical index |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
|  | 2350 | different notational elements |
| Alphabetical | 2864 | main headings |
|  | 1560 | "see" references |
|  | 592 | subheadings |
| Facet | 1686 | notational elements |
| Uniterm | 3174 | terms |
| (including 607 Proper names and 267 numbers) |  |  |
| An interesting table (No. 9) concerns the compilation of the chain |  |  | index to the facet classification. As has already been stated, in theory a card is required for every notational element used in indexing a document. In practice certain elements and combination of elements will be repeated, so that on the second and subsequent occasions when it is used, no card is required for insertion in the chain index. The result of this is that in theory there should come a time when all possible permutations will have been used, and therefore no further cards will require to be entered in the chain index.


|  |  |  |  | -97- |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Document <br> Numbers | U.D.C. |  | ALPHABETICAL |  |  | FACET |  | UNITERM |
|  | Total | Elements | Total | Main Headings | Sub <br> Headings | Total | Elements |  |
| 12001-100 | 635 | 339 | 385 | 236 | 210 | 228 | 918 | 1181 |
| 12101-200 | 584 | 340 | 324 | 210 | 194 | 232 | 877 | 1192 |
| 12201-300 | 565 | 325 | 352 | 203 | 194 | 232 | 905 | 1156 |
| 12301-400 | 512 | 303 | 310 | 221 | 233 | 184 | 713 | 1294 |
| 12401-500 | 507 | 311 | 339 | 227 | 252 | 210 | 818 | 868 |
| 12501-600 | 420 | 270 | 300 | 227 | 208 | 192 | 654 | 876 |
| 12601-700 | 298 | 251 | 271 | 209 | 202 | 173 | 559 | 899 |
| 12701-800 | 319 | 243 | 283 | 206 | 217 | 150 | 503 | 1042 |
| 12801-900 | 382 | 266 | 261 | 183 | 210 | 150 | 525 | 961 |
| 12901-13000 | 438 | 297 | 281 | 209 | 225 | 159 | 602 | 922 |
| 13001-13100 | 388 | 274 | 274 | 205 | 219 | 179 | 679 | 917 |
| 13101-13200 | 363 | 272 | 278 | 210 | 228 | 156 | 577 | 1044 |
| 13201-13300 | 376 | 250 | 264 | 215 | 172 | 156 | 496 | 857 |
| 13301-13400 | 336 | 257 | 265 | 209 | 184 | 148 | 505 | 782 |
| 13401-13500 | 284 | 220 | 219 | 184 | 124 | 149 | 412 | 746 |
| 13501-13600 | 339 | 255 | 242 | 193 | 154 | 146 | 483 | 994 |
| 13601-13700 | 283 | 219 | 220 | 165 | 180 | 141 | 396 | 720 |
| 13701-13800 | 304 | 258 | 245 | 187 | 213 | 143 | 475 | 745 |
| 13801-13900 | 315 | 237 | 240 | 174 | 195 | 151 | 479 | 748 |
| 13901-14000 | 240 | 212 | 199 | 169 | 160 | 120 | 369 | 842 |
|  | TABLE 4A DATA ON POSTINGS FOR DOCUMENT GROUPS DURING FINAL SUB-PROGRAMME (DOCUMENTS 12,001-18,000) INDEXER A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Note: The columns "Total" represent the number of cards required by the indexer to be put in the catalogues. For U.D.C. and Facet the column "Elements" represents the number of different notational elements which were actually used. In Alphabetical, "Main Headings" and "SubHeadings" represent the different headings which were actually used. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Document Numbers | U.D.C. |  | ALPHABETICAL |  |  | FACET |  | UNITERM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Main | Sub |  |  |  |
|  | Total | Elements | Total | Headings | Headings | Total | Elements |  |
| 14001-100 | 1118 | 646 | 512 | 362 | 219 | 149 | 645 | 1141 |
| 14101-200 | 468 | 351 | 1030 | 601 | 422 | 117 | 509 | 988 |
| 14201-300 | 425 | 345 | 314 | 253 | 207 | 218 | 1294 | 1001 |
| 14301-400 | 338 | 303 | 264 | 201 | 199 | 113 | 460 | 2451 |
| 14401-500 | 661 | 437 | 313 | 231 | 224 | 143 | 489 | 882 |
| 14501-600 | 412 | 328 | 415 | 309 | 285 | 131 | 533 | 845 |
| 14601-700 | 417 | 336 | 274 | 207 | 209 | 241 | 1091 | 952 |
| 14701-800 | 376 | 329 | 242 | 200 | 200 | 147 | 518 | 2012 |
| 14801-900 | 543 | 401 | 314 | 235 | 180 | 117 | 482 | 815 |
| 14901-15000 | 308 | 294 | 502 | 323 | 203 | 117 | 434 | 843 |
| 15001-100 | 302 | 282 | 251 | 185 | 170 | 230 | 925 | 781 |
| 15101-200 | 281 | 277 | 179 | 159 | 127 | 105 | 400 | 1654 |
| 15201-300 | 339 | 313 | 191 | 161 | 123 | 111 | 342 | 634 |
| 15301-400 | 228 | 241 | 289 | 248 | 162 | 118 | 353 | 664 |
| 15401-500 | 284 | 293 | 201 | 162 | 145 | 130 | 648 | 744 |
| 15501-600 | 257 | 260 | 190 | 172 | 124 | 117 | 278 | 1108 |
| 15601-700 | 284 | 279 | 168 | 159 | 140 | 107 | 337 | 740 |
| 15701-800 | 220 | 222 | 230 | 190 | 158 | 114 | 220 | 681 |
| 15801-900 | 241 | 245 | 162 | 159 | 131 | 128 | 415 | 629 |
| 15901-16000 | 202 | 213 | 164 | 141 | 108 | 109 | 302 | 900 |

TABLE 4B DATA ON POSTINGS FOR DOCUMENT GROUPS DURING FINAL SUB -
PROGRAMME (DOCUMENTS 12,001-18,000) INDEXER B

Note: The columns "Total'represent the number of cards required by the indexer to be put in the catalogues. For U.D.C. and Facet the column "Elements" represents the number of different notational elements which were actually used. In Alphabetical, "Main Headings" and "SubHeadings" represent the different headings which were actually used.

| Document Numbers | U.D.C. |  | ALPHABETICAL |  |  | FACET |  | UNITERM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Main | Sub |  |  |  |
|  | Total | Elements | Total | Headings | Headings | Total | Elements |  |
| 16001-100 | 765 | 541 | 565 | 399 | 295 | 173 | 725 | 1113 |
| 16101-200 | 668 | 509 | 607 | 401 | 321 | 203 | 770 | 1045 |
| 16201-300 | 614 | 448 | 487 | 350 | 267 | 242 | 935 | 1052 |
| 16301-400 | 712 | 499 | 610 | 373 | 307 | 223 | 842 | 1264 |
| 16401-500 | 760 | 483 | 548 | 373 | 275 | 198 | 795 | 991 |
| 16501-600 | 645 | 444 | 528 | 346 | 304 | 215 | 832 | 972 |
| 16601-700 | 556 | 432 | 428 | 319 | 270 | 204 | 842 | 927 |
| 16701-800 | 518 | 409 | 436 | 306 | 241 | 172 | 685 | 955 |
| 16801-900 | 482 | 396 | 389 | 304 | 215 | 158 | 538 | 761 |
| 16901-17000 | 403 | 345 | 359 | 265 | 233 | 152 | 455 | 695 |
| 17001-100 | 398 | 344 | 327 | 231 | 183 | 165 | 570 | 698 |
| 17101-200 | 481 | 379 | 419 | 296 | 220 | 141 | 515 | 930 |
| 17201-300 | 370 | 311 | 275 | 211 | 185 | 113 | 387 | 638 |
| 17301-400 | 376 | 328 | 310 | 235 | 195 | 119 | 421 | 683 |
| 17401-500 | 390 | 329 | 319 | 235 | 187 | 118 | 448 | 696 |
| 17501-600 | 393 | 319 | 291 | 234 | 161 | 127 | 426 | 734 |
| 17601-700 | 235 | 242 | 216 | 173 | 128 | 110 | 314 | 533 |
| 17701-800 | 280 | 259 | 228 | 192 | 162 | 110 | 335 | 573 |
| 17801-900 | 282 | 260 | 222 | 188 | 136 | 104 | 367 | 589 |
| 17901-18000 | 277 | 252 | 217 | 187 | 140 | 102 | 335 | 616 |

TABLE 4C DATA ON POSTINGS FOR DOCUMENT GROUPS DURING FINAL SUB-PROGRAMME (DOCUMENTS 12,001-18,000) INDEXER C

Note: The columns "Total" represent the number of cards required by the indexer to be put in the catalogues. For U.D.C. and Facet the column "Elements" represents the number of different notational elements which were actually used. In Alphabetical, "Main Headings" and "SubHeadings" represent the different headings which were actually used.

$$
-100-
$$

TOTALS FOR DIFFERENT TIME ALLOWANCES


Indexer B

| 16 minutes | 2349 | 1645 | 2120 | 1417 | 1047 | 597 | 2908 | 5581 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 minutes | 1866 | 1430 | 1244 | 947 | 918 | 662 | 2631 | 4691 |
| 8 minutes | 1434 | 1254 | 1246 | 902 | 680 | 569 | 2241 | 4093 |
| 4 minutes | 1109 | 1107 | 871 | 783 | 554 | 576 | 1621 | 3150 |
| 2 minutes | 947 | 959 | 724 | 649 | 537 | $45 i$ | 1274 | 2950 |

Indexer C

| 16 minutes | 2763 | 1997 | 2069 | 1523 | 1190 | 841 | 3278 | 4464 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 12 minutes | 2479 | 1768 | 1940 | 1344 | 1090 | 789 | 3154 | 3845 |
| 8 minutes | 1764 | 1464 | 1494 | 1096 | 851 | 616 | 2078 | 3084 |
| 4 minutes | 1529 | 1287 | 1195 | 915 | 728 | 477 | 1682 | 2751 |
| 2 minutes | 1074 | 1013 | 883 | 740 | 566 | 426 | 1351 | 2311 |

TABLE 4D TOTAL POSTINGS FOR DIFFERENT TIME ALLOWANCES DURING FINAL BATCH

Note: The columns "Total" represent the number of cards required by the indexer to be put in the catalogues. For U.D.C. and Facet the column "Elements" represents the number of different notational elements which were actually used. In Alphabetical, "Main Headings" and "SubHeadings" represent the different headings which were actually used.
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TABLE 5B AVERAGE COMBINED POSTINGS PER HUNDRED DOCUMENTS FOR 16 MINUTES, 8 MINUTES AND 2 MINUTES FOR THREE SUB-PROGRAMMES
a = documents 1-6000
$\mathrm{b}=$ documents 6001-12000
$c=$ documents 12001-18000

| ```Time in minutes``` | U.D.C. |  | ALPHABETICAL |  | FACET |  | UNITERM |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Report | Jnl. | Report | Jnl. | Report | Jnl. | Report | Jnl. |
| 16 | 9.1 | 7.6 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 11.8 | 11.0 |
| 12 | 5.5 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 3.8 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 9.5 | 8.4 |
| 8 | 4.7 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 8.6 | 7.3 |
| 4 | 3.7 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 10.4 | 8.5 |
| 2 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 7.2 | 6.0 |
| Average | 5.4 | 4.1 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 9.5 | 8.2 |

TABLE 6 AVERAGE POSTINGS PER DOCUMENT FOR REPORTS AND JOURNAL ARTICLES

U.D.C. | ALPH- |
| :--- |
| ABET- |
| ICAL |$\quad$ FACET UNITERM

Royal Aircraft Est.
Reports and Notes
5.5
3.5
1.7
9.0

National Advisory
Committee for
Aeronautics. Notes and Memoranda
$1.5 \quad 1$.
1.4
6.3

Flight \& Aeroplane
Aircraft Engineering
5.5
4.5
2.0
9.3

Metallurgica \&
Metal Progress
7.3
5.3
2.3
12.0

Royal Aeronautical
Society Journal
3.6
3.1
1.8
6.8

Journal Aeronautical
Sciences
4.1
3.2
1.5
8.8

TABLE 7 AVERAGE POSTINGS BY SERIES AND JOURNALS

|  | U. D. C. |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ALPHA- } \\ & \text { BETICAL } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | UNITERM |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indexer:- | A | B | C | A | B | C | A | B | C |
| Indexing Time |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 31 | 22 | 47 | 30 | 19 | 29 | 16 | 34 | 6 |
| 8 | 16 | 2 | 24 | 25 | 14 | 14 | 12 | 10 | 4 |
| 2 | 4 | 6 | 19 | 5 | 13 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 1 |
| 4 | 9 | 3 | 16 | 14 | 1 | 11 | 11 | 2 | 8 |
| 12 | 13 | 14 | 33 | 21 | 19 | 6 | 22 | 17 | 5 |
| Individual |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totals | 76 | 47 | 139 | 95 | 66 | 69 | 71 | 73 | 24 |
| Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totals |  | 265 |  |  | 230 |  |  | 168 |  |

TABLE 8 NEW HEADINGS ORIGINATED FOR GROUPS OF 100 DOCUMENTS IN FINAL BATCH AT VARIOUS INDEXING TIMES

No valid information was available as to how long it would take to reach that position or alternatively whether there was any likelihood of the stage being approached.

Clearly the more compact the subject field, the greater the chance that the possible permutations will be repeated. Further, where the notation is made up of few separate elements, the notation is more likely to be repeated than in those cases where the notation is long and complex. As will be seen from Table 9, the figure for cards required dropped fairly quickly, but appeared to level out at the figure of approximately $50 \%$ and it seems that continued indexing would not bring it very far below the figure.

A claim made for the facet classification is the economy in total entries which results from a fixed order with the chain index. For the three card catalogues during the final six thousand documents, the following figures show the number of cards actually inserted.

| U.D.C. |  | Alphabetical | Facet |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Classified | Alpha- |  | Classified | Chain |
| catalogue | betical Index |  | Catalogue | Index |
| 25223 | 1736 | 19338 | 9511 | 18083 |
| Total: 26,959 |  | 19,338 | 27,594 |  |

It would appear that in our subject field it is doubtful if the position would ever be reached where the total number of cards required for the facet catalogue was appreciably less than those considered necessary for the other systems.

We did not specify any term in facet as an "unsought" term, although we were aware that certain terms, such as Tests, Wind Tunnel Tests, Flight Tests, Design, Analysis, Calculation and Measurement, would in

| No. of documents | Possible No. of chain index cards | Cards <br> Inser- <br> ted | Cards Duplicated | \% of possible cards inserted | Duplicate cards with three or more elements |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 1200 | 4111 | 3094 | 1017 | 75.2 | 21 | 2 | - | - |
| 1200 | 3711 | 2228 | 1483 | 60.0 | 51 | 7 | 1 | 1 |
| 1200 | 5101 | 2990 | 2111 | 58.8 | 84 | 17 | 4 | 1 |
| 1200 | 5271 | 2937 | 2334 | 55.8 | 135 | 46 | 10 | 3 |
| 1200 | 4456 | 2385 | 2071 | 53.0 | 133 | 34 | 7 | 1 |
| 1200 | 4855 | 2379 | 2476 | 49.0 | 154 | 33 | 8 | 1 |
| 1200 | 6943 | 3271 | 3672 | 47.3 | 263 | 72 | 18 | 3 |
| 1200 | 7709 | 4277 | 3432 | 55.5 | 292 | 78 | 20 | 4 |
| 1200 | 7309 | 3865 | 3444 | 52.8 | 302 | 81 | 22 | 3 |
| 1200 | 5268 | 2719 | 2549 | 51.6 | 253 | 71 | 20 | 1 |
| 1200 | 6946 | 3211 | 3735 | 46.2 | 382 | 113 | 23 | 2 |
| 1200 | 8121 | 4011 | 4110 | 49.5 | 425 | 118 | 26 | 4 |

TABLE 9 CARDS INSERTED IN CHAIN INDEX OF FACET CATALOGUE DURING WHOLE PROGRAMME
most cases be unsought terms as far as the chain index was concerned. This matter will be investigated in the test programme, but if it had been decided not to prepare chain index entry for any of these terms the number of cards inserted would have been reduced from 18,083 (as shown above) to 16,475 . On the other hand, as discussed in Chapter 4 , we did not do full chain indexing up to the containing head. If this had been done, it is estimated that the number of inserted cards would have risen to over 30,000 .

## CHAPTER 7

## SUPPLEMENTARY INDEXING

For the testing programme we estimated that we should require 1600 questions which were to be based on documents in the collection, and we sought the co-operation of a large number of organisations and individuals. Discussion of the questions will be given in a later report dealing with the test programme, and it is sufficient to say here that we obtained the required number of questions.

We decided that at the same time we would invite people to index documents for us. The original purpose for doing this was so that we might have a comparison with which the standard of indexing of the project staff could be checked. The following is an extract from the notice which we sent to those whom we thought might be interested enough to help.
"Assistance of two kinds is requested from individuals or organisations who are interested in this project. Firstly, we wish to have a number of the project documents indexed by persons or groups of persons who are familiar with the subject content,

